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In California, approximately 56 per cent of our state’s 38.2 million people are Latinos, African Americans, Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders, American Indians, and Alaska Natives. These racial/ethnic groups comprise the largest communities of color in our state. They also contribute extensively to California’s economic and social vitality. Therefore, it is in our state’s best interest to insure the health and well being of these populations.

The Ethnic Health Assessment Project seeks to clearly frame the health needs of these population groups and make recommendations for meeting those needs. The four companion reports generated from the Project are the result of close collaboration between academic researchers, lead ethnic organizations, and ethnic stakeholders.

The Project’s leading ethnic organizations and researchers include:

- Latino Coalition for a Healthy California (LCHC) and Michael A. Rodriguez, MD, MPH, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles
- California Black Health Network and Lonnie Snowden, PhD, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley
- Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum (APIAHF) and Winston Tseng, PhD, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley
- California Rural Indian Health Board (CRIHB) and Carol Korenbrot, PhD, CRIHB Research Director

A unique feature of the Project was the inclusion of stakeholders from advocate organizations, provider networks, and consumer and community-based organizations.

The stakeholders brought their real-life experiences to the discussion table and helped frame the content and policy recommendations found in each of the four reports. A separate stakeholder list is presented in the beginning of each report.

The four final reports will be distributed to California’s decision makers, as well as to decision makers in other states with a significant minority presence, and to national level officials who have an interest in California’s racial/ethnic health care issues.
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The State of California alone accounts for one-third of the total Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander (AA and NHPI) population in the nation, collectively numbering almost five million and representing one in seven Californians. The largest population concentrations are in the San Francisco Bay Area and the greater Los Angeles area.

Asian Americans represent over 13% of the total California population and include Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Filipino, Hmong, Indonesian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Pakistani, Taiwanese, Thai, Vietnamese, and other Asian ethnicities.

Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders represent 0.6% of the total California population and include Guamanian or Chamorro, Native Hawaiian, Samoan, Tongan, and other Pacific Islander ethnicities.

AAs and NHPIs are often portrayed as model minorities who are self-sufficient and hardworking groups that have overcome barriers to achieving social mobility and wellness. However, this cultural stereotype masks the documented health disparities and social and economic differences across AA and NHPI subgroups.

HEALTH DISPARITIES

When looking at California’s cancer deaths, Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders have the highest proportion of cancer deaths by race/ethnicity. Language and cultural barriers pose a particular health care problem for many AA and NHPI subgroups because they are incredibly diverse, representing over 50 ethnic backgrounds and speaking over 100 different languages.

Significant AA and NHPI health and health care problems include:

- Hepatitis B and Tuberculosis: AAs and NHPIs account for the largest proportion of all chronic Hepatitis B and tuberculosis cases in California, with the majority of cases in their immigrant populations.
- Cancer and STD Screenings: In AA women, the rate of non-compliance with cervical cancer screening guidelines is nearly double the California average. AAs also have high rates of non-compliance with breast cancer and colorectal cancer screening guidelines compared to the overall state average. AAs report much lower rates of sexually transmitted disease (STD) and HIV screening than the state average.
- Obesity and Diabetes: More Samoan, Guamanian, Native Hawaiian, and Tahitian children have a body mass index (BMI) not within the Healthy Fitness Zone compared to the state average. Also, NHPI and Filipino adults have some of the highest rates of obesity and diabetes in the state.
- Mental Health: Korean elders and NHPI adults reported among the highest rates of serious psychological distress in the state.
- Health Insurance: Tongans and Koreans reported the highest rates of being currently uninsured in the state, more than double the state average.
- Usual Source of Health Care: Tongans, Hmong, and Koreans reported the highest rates of not having a usual source of care in the state.

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

Social factors contribute to the health disparities experienced by AAs and NHPIs in California.

- Discrimination: More AAs and NHPIs reported being treated badly due to race or ethnicity “sometimes,” “often,” or “all of the time” compared to the state average.
- Poverty and Unemployment: Hmong, Cambodian, and Laotian populations have at least two times lower per capita income rates, higher poverty rates, and higher unemployment rates compared to the California average.
- Lack of Language Capacity by Providers: Few physicians speak the languages of the limited English proficient AA populations, particularly the Hmong, Cambodian, and Laotian populations.
RECOMMENDATIONS TO REDUCE HEALTH DISPARITIES

To improve the health of California’s Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders, we make the following recommendations by policy issue area:

NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTH AND FOOD CONSUMPTION

1. Support local leaders and programs that promote neighborhood safety and social interaction in AA and NHPI neighborhoods like Neighborhood Watch, community policing programs, and National Night Out, an annual neighborhood crime prevention event. Use “built environment” strategies, such as lighting, stop signs, and signage, to increase safety.
2. Pressure local elected and appointed officials to implement policies that promote the availability of healthy choices in underserved AA and NHPI neighborhoods.
3. Support the First Lady’s Let’s Move initiative to help end the childhood obesity epidemic within a generation. Let’s Move uses a multi-pronged approach, encouraging healthy choices, healthier schools, physical activity, and access to healthy, affordable food.
4. Support existing neighborhood models and foster peer-support models for physical activity among NHPI and Filipino adults and children.

HEALTH STATUS AND SPECIFIC DISEASE AREAS

1. Reduce Hepatitis B rates in the AA and NHPI population by increased screening and vaccination among adolescents and adults. Since July 1, 1999, all California students entering the 7th grade are required to be immunized against Hepatitis B. Outreach, screening, and vaccination of AAs and NHPIs must continue to protect California’s population against this infectious disease.
2. Reduce tuberculosis (TB) rates among AA immigrant groups, particularly among immigrants from the Philippines and Vietnam, by supporting county efforts in health education, surveillance, and prevention and control programs.
3. Continue to support counties, communities, and provider organizations that undertake education and awareness campaigns that reduce the stigma of Hepatitis B, TB, cancer, and sexually transmitting diseases, including HIV, and that promote culturally-competent prevention and control efforts.
4. Create legislation that supports the health care system in the prevention and control of obesity and diabetes, targeting NHPI and Filipino children and adults.
5. Create policies promoting diversification of the mental health workforce to respond to the unique mental health needs and mental health access issues of AAs and NHPIs, with special attention to AA and NHPI adults who report high rates of frequent and severe mental distress.

ACCESS TO CARE

1. Support culturally and linguistically appropriate patient education programs emphasizing the importance of having a regular source of care, getting an annual physical exam, and getting screened for certain cancers, Hepatitis B, and TB.
2. Continue to monitor and hold health plans accountable for implementing SB 853, the Health Care Language Access Act, which requires health plans to provide language services (translated documents and interpreters) at all points of care.
3. Support a pilot project to test a hybrid delivery system for language services, as proposed by the Medi-Cal Language Access Services Taskforce. This system would test both a broker and direct provider billing system for language service delivery.
4. Remove the five-year waiting period for newly arriving legal immigrants, which will help address health disparities and increase access to care for AAs and NHPIs.
5. Remove prohibitions against undocumented immigrants that prevent purchasing health insurance through Exchanges and create a system of coverage that serves and includes everyone.
HEALTH CARE WORKFORCE

1. Encourage health care providers, particularly in the 14 medically underserved AA and NHPI counties, to apply for grants established by recent health care reform legislation that would fund training for culturally appropriate care and services.
2. Support workforce development and training programs that increase the availability and competency of bilingual and bicultural health professionals.
3. Encourage public health departments, clinics, and hospitals to apply for grants established by recent health care legislation that promote the use of community health workers in medically underserved areas.
4. Support the development of a statewide master plan on increasing diversity in the health care workforce.

WOMEN’S HEALTH AND PARTNER VIOLENCE

1. Reauthorize and fully fund the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act to allow communities to continue to provide life-saving services to victims of domestic violence and their children; funding is necessary for emergency shelters, crisis lines, counseling, and advocacy. Violence prevention is necessary to meet the needs of underserved communities and break the cycle of violence.
2. Assure adequate funding for California’s network of domestic violence shelters.
3. Continue to support counties and community and provider organizations that undertake education and awareness campaigns to reduce the stigma of reporting partner violence, and promote culturally-competent prevention and treatment efforts.

DATA AND RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT

1. Expand collection of subgroup data for AA and NHPI populations; require all California agencies and commissions to match current U.S. Census data categories.
2. Increase awareness among national surveillance and epidemiological study teams of the need to collect disaggregated AA and NHPI racial/ethnic data.
3. Encourage health and policy research institutions, such as the National Institutes of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, to prioritize research and data collection on AA and NHPI subgroups.

If put into action, these recommendations would make important strides to improve health outcomes among California’s AA and NHPI populations.
INTRODUCTION

Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders (AAs and NHPIs) are often portrayed as model minorities who are self-sufficient and hardworking groups that experience few if any barriers to social mobility and wellness. However, this cultural stereotype masks what are often severe health disparities and social and economic disadvantages across AA and NHPI subgroups.

In this report, we evaluate the health disparities facing AAs and NHPIs. We also seek to uncover the full range of cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic adversities that contribute to the health problems faced by these populations.

This report builds on our 2009 study, “The State of Asian American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Health in California Report,” which was conducted in conjunction with the California Asian Pacific Joint Islander Legislative Caucus. The 2009 report was the first comprehensive study showing the health profiles of Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, South Asian, Vietnamese, and Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander ethnic groups living in California. The 2009 report detailed the health and health care gaps and emphasized the critical need for disaggregated or individualized data for each of the AA and NHPI subgroups to fully measure health disparities.

In this 2010 health assessment report, we incorporate and update key issues from the 2009 report. We also provide a more comprehensive assessment of social and health system factors contributing to health disparities and additional data by AA and NHPI subgroups. Disaggregated data for NHPI Californians by social factors using U.S. Census data are presented for the very first time. Key health system factors such as health care coverage, usual source of care, and emergency room use are disaggregated by 17 AA subgroups and five NHPI subgroups in California. In addition, new data by AA and NHPI subgroups and by gender on food insecurity, sexual orientation, and sexually transmitted disease screening are reported.

A reframing of how to conceptualize and address health disparities for all is taking place. This paradigm shift is changing the cultural norms and practices for carrying out community health interventions and research to reduce and eliminate health disparities. The traditional “one size fits all” approach is not appropriate or effective in addressing the full range of cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic adversities faced by populations of color, particularly underserved AAs and NHPIs.

We hope this report provides useful information that can be used by elected and appointed officials, community advocates, and residents to develop appropriate and effective legislation, programs, and partnerships to reduce and eliminate health disparities among AAs and NHPIs.
I. A SOCIAL HISTORY AND DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE: CALIFORNIA’S ASIAN AMERICANS, NATIVE HAWAIIANS, AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS

A SOCIAL HISTORY

California is the home of one-third of the nation’s Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander (AA and NHPI) populations. AA and NHPI Californians have deep roots in California. As early as 1587, Filipino sailors, who were part of Spanish exploration expeditions, arrived in Morro Bay. Later, AAs and NHPIs came to California seeking economic opportunities, starting with Chinese arrivals during the Gold Rush era. Emigrants from Japan, the Philippines, Korea, and India soon followed, taking on agricultural, manufacturing, and service jobs. During the 1950s and 1960s, the U.S. military and Christian missionaries lured a host of NHPIs to California, promising a better quality of life than on the islands. The end of the Vietnam War in 1975 brought waves of refugees from Southeast Asia to the United States, many of whom settled in California.

Today, family reunification represents the primary source of AA and NHPI immigration to California, in addition to the stream of cheap labor and brain drain immigrant flows and refugee flows from regions of U.S. military engagement. At the same time, increased birth rates contribute to the fast population growth for California’s AAs and NHPIs. As this report will show, AAs and NHPIs are transforming California’s politics, culture, and identity.

HISTORY OF ASIAN AMERICANS IN CALIFORNIA

The first major wave of Asian immigrants occurred in 1852, when Chinese contract laborers arrived in San Francisco on their way to work in the Sierra Nevada gold mines. Chinese merchants also arrived to provide these miners with foods, clothing, and other materials from China. In 1865, many Chinese miners provided cheap labor for the construction of the first transcontinental railroad. In the 19th Century, Chinese immigrants later branched into farming, manufacturing, and service businesses. However, the Chinese Exclusion Act of 1882 closed the door on Chinese labor immigration.

Japanese workers first came to California from 1902 to 1906 to work in the railroad industry and in farms and lumber mills, but the Gentlemen’s Agreement of 1907 slowed Japanese immigration by limiting entry of Japanese laborers. In 1910, a dozen years after the U.S. annexed the Philippines, Filipino immigrants arrived in California. In the 1920s, Filipinos became California’s largest group of Asian farm workers. Small numbers of Koreans and Asian Indians also entered the farming, manufacturing, and service industries during this same period. However, immigration laws such as the 1917 Asiatic Barred Zone Act and the Quota immigration laws of 1921 and 1924 further limited Asian immigration. It was not until the Immigration Act of 1965 that Asian immigration restrictions and exclusions were lifted entirely.

In the post-1965 period, open immigration policies facilitated the largest Asian immigrant waves in California’s history. During this time, the U.S. military engagement in the Vietnam War led to the largest refugee resettlement program in American history, with major refugee waves arriving in California from Vietnam, Cambodia, and Laos. In 1960, AAs represented 2% of Californians, with Japanese, Chinese, and Filipinos accounting for almost all of California’s AA population. Today, AAs represent more than 13% of Californians with Filipinos, Chinese, Vietnamese, Asian Indians, and Koreans accounting for the largest AA subgroups.
HISTORY OF NATIVE HAWAIIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS IN CALIFORNIA

Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (NHPIs) arrived in California in the 1950s after World War II. Post World War II military service brought Pacific Islanders from the U.S. territories of American Samoa and Guam to California. Native Hawaiians and Tongans came to California seeking economic opportunities, with many Tongans migrating to California via American Samoa. Mormon church activities also brought Tongan students and other NHPI immigrants to California. Many NHPIs initially settled in Southern California cities like Los Angeles, Long Beach, Oceanside, and San Diego, while others settled in San Francisco. Today, NHPIs represent more than 0.6% of Californians, with Native Hawaiians and Samoans accounting for the largest NHPI subgroups. Most NHPI Californians today reside in the greater Sacramento, San Francisco, and Los Angeles areas.

TOTAL AA AND NHPI POPULATIONS BY CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS

MAP 1.

Map by Silvia Jimenez & Paul Ong
CONCENTRATIONS OF AAs AND NHPIs BY POLITICAL DISTRICTS

Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander (AA and NHPI) Californians are among the State's fastest growing racial/ethnic groups. From 1960 to 2006, AAs and NHPIs grew from 2% to 14% of the total California population. AAs and NHPIs represent one in seven Californians, are a key voting block across California's legislative districts, and are transforming the state's political landscape.

The State Assembly Districts with the highest AA and NHPI concentrations in California are located in the San Francisco Bay Area and the Greater Los Angeles Area (see Map 1). Assembly Districts 12 (San Francisco/San Mateo, 46%), 20 (Alameda/Santa Clara, 43%), 22 (Santa Clara, 40%), 23 (Santa Clara, 31%), 49 (Los Angeles, 41%), 68 (Orange, 30%), and 60 (Los Angeles/Orange/San Bernardino, 29%) have the highest proportion of AAs and NHPIs. Assembly Districts in or surrounding San Diego County have the next highest proportion of AAs and NHPIs, ranging from 10% to 21%. Generally, the further the district is from San Francisco and Los Angeles, the lower the proportion of AAs and NHPIs. In districts that are farthest northeast, and in some Assembly Districts between the Bay Area and Los Angeles, AAs and NHPIs account for less than 5% of the total population. Assembly Districts 1 (2%), 52 (2%), 50 (3%), and 80 (3%) have the smallest proportion of AAs and NHPIs.

Similarly, as shown in Map 2, the California State Senate districts with the largest AA and NHPI concentrations are located in the San Francisco Bay and the Greater Los Angeles areas. Senate Districts 8 (San Francisco/San Mateo, 36%), 10 (Alameda/Santa Clara, 36%), 13 (Santa Clara, 32%), 9 (Alameda/Contra Costa, 19%), 29 (Los Angeles/Orange/San Bernardino, 23%), 35 (Orange, 20%), 24 (Los Angeles, 19%), and 34 (Orange, 19%) have the highest proportion of AAs and NHPIs across California. A number of adjacent districts also have sizable AA and NHPI populations. The remaining Senate districts have AA and NHPI populations ranging from 4% to 8%, with the smallest in Senate Districts 18 (4%), 4 (5%), and 32 (5%).
Similar to the State Assembly and Senate districts, the U.S. Congressional Districts with the highest proportion of AAs and NHPIs in California are located in the San Francisco Bay and the Greater Los Angeles areas (see Map 3). Districts 15 (Santa Clara, 36%), 12 (San Francisco/San Mateo, 34%), 13 (Alameda, 34%), 8 (San Francisco, 31%), 16 (Santa Clara, 28%), and 29 (Los Angeles, 27%) have the highest proportion of AAs and NHPIs across California. A number of adjacent districts also have sizable AA and NHPI populations. AA and NHPI populations in the remaining congressional districts range from 4% to 15%. The smallest proportions are in districts 4 (4%), 22 (4%), and 43 (4%).
## Table 1. Ethnic Composition in California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS 2006-2008</th>
<th>Alone Population</th>
<th>Inclusive Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CA Total</strong></td>
<td>36,418,499</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>462,475</td>
<td>498,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>79,769</td>
<td>92,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>1,119,810</td>
<td>1,263,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(except Taiwanese)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>1,125,864</td>
<td>1,324,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>71,810</td>
<td>78,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian</td>
<td>26,047</td>
<td>36,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>274,920</td>
<td>405,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>427,105</td>
<td>471,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>57,477</td>
<td>67,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>28,991</td>
<td>33,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td>36,560</td>
<td>40,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>44,824</td>
<td>57,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>535,901</td>
<td>589,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Total</strong></td>
<td>132,437</td>
<td>206,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guamanian/Chamorro</td>
<td>24,720</td>
<td>35,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian</td>
<td>24,350</td>
<td>65,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>31,949</td>
<td>46,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Hispanic White</strong></td>
<td>15,497,272</td>
<td>16,138,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black or African American</strong></td>
<td>2,250,630</td>
<td>2,549,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>American Indian/Alaska Native</strong></td>
<td>285,162</td>
<td>610,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic or Latino (of any race)</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>13,160,978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander population is very diverse, representing more than 50 ethnic groups and 100 languages. The social profile of AAs and NHPIs by subgroups also differs dramatically by culture, language, religion, immigrant status, and socioeconomic status. AAs and NHPIs represent over 13% and 0.6% of the total California population, respectively. Filipinos represent the largest Asian subgroup (27%), followed by Chinese (26%), Vietnamese (12%), Asian Indians (10%), and Koreans (10%). Among AAs, Japanese report the highest proportion of mixed-race background. Native Hawaiians represent the largest NHPI subgroup (32%), followed by Samoans (23%) and Guamanians/Chamorros (17%). The NHPI population is comprised of many mixed-race individuals. Native Hawaiians, in particular, have the highest proportion of mixed-race background.

**AGE, GENDER, AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE**

**AGE**

The median age of Asian Americans (35.7 years) is similar to the California average (34.7 years) and older than all other racial/ethnic groups except for Whites (42.0 years), while the median age of Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (27.6 years) is younger than the California average and all racial/ethnic groups except for Latinos (26.8 years) (see Table 2). Among people younger than age 18, AAs have a lower proportion of youth (24%) than all other racial/ethnic groups except for Whites (20%). However, a sizable proportion of people younger than age 18 are found among the Hmong (45%), Pakistanis (34%), and Laotians (31%). NHPIs have a higher proportion of people younger than age 18 (33%) than all other racial/ethnic groups except for Latinos (35%). Samoans (41%) represent the largest NHPI subgroup with people younger than age 18, followed by Tongans (39%), Guamanians/Chamorros (32%), and Native Hawaiians (30%).

Among people age 65 or older, AAs have a higher proportion (11%) than all other racial/ethnic groups except for Whites (16%), with Japanese (16%) and Chinese (12%) having the largest proportion. NHPIs have the lowest proportion of people age 65 or older (6%) across all racial/ethnic groups except for Latinos (5%), with Tongans (8%) and Native Hawaiians (7%) having the largest proportions among NHPIs.
TABLE 2. AGE, GENDER, AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN CALIFORNIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS 2006-2008</th>
<th>AGE Median</th>
<th>% &lt; 18</th>
<th>% ≥ 65</th>
<th>GENDER % Women</th>
<th>HOUSEHOLD SIZE Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Total</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian Inclusive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Inclusive</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese except Taiwanese</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander Inclusive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guamanian/ Chamorro</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Hispanic White</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/ Alaska Native</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic or Latino (of any race)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GENDER

AAs and NHPIs have similar proportions of women compared to the state average. The highest proportion of AA women is found among Thais (56%) and Japanese (54%), while the lowest proportion of AA women is found among Pakistanis (44%) and Asian Indians (47%). Among NHPI subgroups, Samoans have the highest proportion of women (51%), while Native Hawaiians have the lowest (49%).

HOUSEHOLD SIZE

The average household size for AAs (3.15 persons) and NHPIs (3.47 persons) is larger than the state average (2.92 persons) and larger than that of all other racial/ethnic groups except for Latinos (3.89 persons). Among AA subgroups, Hmong (5.39 persons) and Laotians (4.44 persons) have the largest average household size, and Japanese (2.36 persons) and Koreans (2.75 persons) have the smallest. Among NHPI subgroups, Samoans (4.72 persons) and Tongans (4.49 persons) have the largest average household size, and Native Hawaiians (2.82 persons) have the smallest.

IMMIGRATION, NATURALIZATION, AND LANGUAGE

IMMIGRATION

Many more Asian Americans (60%) were born outside the U.S. than Californians as a whole (27%) (see Table 3). Taiwanese (71%) have the most foreign-born, followed by Asian Indians (69%), Koreans (68%), and Indonesians (67%). Japanese (30%) have the fewest foreign-born. The proportion of Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (20%) born outside the U.S. exceeds that of other racial/ethnic groups except for AAs and Latinos (41%). Tongans (46%) have the largest NHPI foreign-born population.
## TABLE 3. FOREIGN BORN, NATURALIZATION, AND ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS 2006-2008</th>
<th>FOREIGN BORN</th>
<th>NATURALIZATION RATE OF FOREIGN BORN</th>
<th>LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Total</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Inclusive</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese except Taiwanese</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>64.4%</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Inclusive</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guamanian/Chamorro</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (of any race)</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NATURALIZATION

The naturalization rate of foreign-born AAs is much higher (62%) than the California average (27%) and higher than most racial/ethnic groups, and is equivalent to that of foreign-born Whites (62%). By AA subgroups, foreign-born Vietnamese (77%) have the highest naturalization rates, followed by Chinese (68%) and Pakistani (66%). Foreign-born NHPIs have a substantially higher naturalization rate (52%) than most racial/ethnic groups except for AAs and Whites, with the highest among Native Hawaiians (76%) and Guamanians/Chamorros (64%).

### LANGUAGE

More AAs (35%) have limited English proficiency (LEP) than the state average (20%) and most other racial/ethnic groups except for Latinos (39%). Vietnamese (53%) have the highest proportion of LEP, followed by Koreans (49%), Hmong (49%) and Chinese (46%). NHPIs also have high proportions of LEP (10%) compared to other racial/ethnic groups except for AAs and Latinos. Among NHPI subgroups, Tongans (22%) have the highest proportion of LEP.
At first glance, the educational attainment of Asian Americans appears to be higher than the California average, while that of Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders appears to be lower (see Figure 1). More AAs (47%) have earned at least a bachelor’s degree compared to the state average (29%), and fewer AAs (14%) have not completed high school compared to the California average (20%). However, although more AAs have completed college than Whites (38%), there are more AAs without a high school diploma than Whites (7%).

There are severe disparities in educational attainment for specific AA subgroups. Taiwanese (70%) have the highest college graduation rate, followed by Asian Indians (66%), Koreans (53%), Pakistanis (53%), and Chinese (50%). Laotians (11%) have the lowest college graduation rates, followed by Hmong (12%) and Cambodians (13%). The Hmong have the highest high school incompletion rate (45%), followed by Cambodians (41%), Laotians (40%), and Vietnamese (27%). The AA subgroups with the lowest high school incompletion rates are Indonesians (5%), followed by Taiwanese (5%), Japanese (6%), and Filipinos (8%).

Fewer NHPIs (17%) earned at least a bachelor’s degree compared to the state average and Whites, and more NHPIs (15%) have not completed high school compared to the California average and Whites. Although the NHPI high school attainment rate is similar to that of AAs, fewer NHPIs hold at least a bachelor’s degree. By NHPI subgroups, Native Hawaiians have the highest rate of college graduates (22%) and the lowest rate of individuals not completing high school (10%). Samoans have the lowest proportion of college graduates (10%) and the largest proportion of those who have not completed high school (18%).

**FIGURE 1. EDUCATION ATTAINMENT**
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Source: ACS 2006 - 2008
OCCUPATION

The type of occupations in Figure 2 show AAs (46%) exceed the state average (35%) of Californians who hold managerial and professional occupations, while NHPIs (28%) are below the state average. Fewer AAs (15%) are in service occupations than the average Californian (17%), while more NHPIs (20%) are in service occupations than the state average. Asian Americans’ rates are comparable to the proportion of White Californians in management (46%) and in service jobs (13%), while NHPIs’ rates are comparable to American Indian/Alaska Native Californians in management (30%) and in service jobs (21%).

There are large disparities in occupation for specific AA and NHPI subgroups. Taiwanese (66%) have the highest proportion in management and professional occupations, followed by Asian Indians (62%), Japanese (53%), and Chinese (51%). Laotians (20%) have the lowest proportion in management positions, followed by Hmong (22%) and Cambodians (24%). The largest AA subgroups in service professions are Thai (27%), Hmong (21%), and Indonesians (21%). Taiwanese (6%) and Asian Indians (7%) have the lowest proportion in service professions.

Among NHPI subgroups in management and service jobs, Native Hawaiians (34%) and Guamanians/Chamorros (34%) have the highest percentage holding management jobs, while Samoans (19%) and Tongans (22%) have the lowest. Tongans (30%) have the highest percentage in service jobs, while Native Hawaiians (16%) and Guamanians/Chamorros (16%) have the lowest. Samoans hold similar types of occupations (19% managerial; 20% in service) positions as some Southeast Asian groups, particularly Laotians (20% and 19%, respectively), Hmong (22% and 21%, respectively), and Cambodians (24% and 19%, respectively).
### Table 4. Other Economic Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS 2006-2008</th>
<th>Per Capita Income</th>
<th>Below Poverty</th>
<th>Labor Force Participation</th>
<th>Unemployed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Total</td>
<td>$29,405</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Inclusive</td>
<td>$29,984</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>$40,566</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>$14,325</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese except</td>
<td>$32,690</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>$27,155</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>$10,186</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian</td>
<td>$26,626</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>$35,780</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>$29,028</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>$14,909</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>$25,434</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td>$41,170</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>$24,103</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>$23,815</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/</td>
<td>$21,281</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander Inclusive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guamanian/</td>
<td>$23,393</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamorro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian</td>
<td>$26,066</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$15,882</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>$11,686</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>$40,891</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/A</td>
<td>$21,673</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Native</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>$22,520</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of any race</td>
<td>$15,992</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall measures of socioeconomic status in Table 4 show that the per capita income and unemployment rates of AAs ($29,984 and 4%) are similar to the California averages ($29,405 and 4%), and the poverty rate is slightly lower than the state average (10 vs. 13%). NHPIs have lower per capita income ($21,281), lower poverty rates (11%) and higher unemployment rates (6%) than the state average. AAs have lower per capita income, higher poverty, and similar unemployment rates as Whites ($40,891; 8%; 4%), while NHPIs have much lower per capita income, higher poverty, and higher unemployment rates.
By subgroup, there are severe economic disparities. Taiwanese ($41,170) and Asian Indians ($40,566) have the highest per capita income—similar to Whites. The Hmong, Cambodians, and Laotians, however, have the lowest per capita income and the highest poverty and employment rates: Hmong ($10,186; 29%; 7%), Cambodians ($14,325; 25%, 6%), Laotians ($14,909; 15%; 7%). The Hmong, Cambodians, and Laotians have similar economic profiles to Blacks, American Indians/Alaska Natives, and Hispanic/Latinos. The lowest poverty rates are found among Filipinos (6%), Asian Indians (6%), and Japanese (8%), and the lowest unemployment rates among Taiwanese (3%), Japanese (3%), and Chinese (3%). Filipinos interestingly have the highest labor force participation rate and lowest poverty rate, but only moderate per capita income compared to other AA subgroups.

Among NHPI subgroups, Native Hawaiians and Guamanians/Chamorros have the highest per capita income and lowest poverty and unemployment rates: Native Hawaiians ($26,066; 9%, 5%) and Guamanians/Chamorros ($23,393; 12%; 4%). However, very low per capita income levels as well as high poverty and unemployment rates are found among both Tongans ($11,686; 21%; 9%) and Samoans ($15,282; 12%, 6%), similar to many of the Southeast Asian subgroups.

SEXUAL ORIENTATION AND SAME SEX PARTNERS

Little is known about sexual orientation and same sex couples among Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders in California, although patterns of partner selection have substantially shifted across California over the past few decades. Sexual orientation and same sex partners have important implications for health care access and utilization and for health status in general. AA (2%) and NHPI (2%) adults in California appear to have slightly lower rates of lesbian, gay or bisexual orientation than the California average (3%) and Whites (4%) (see Figure 3). However, by AA and NHPI subgroups, Guamanians (8%), Thais (6%), and Filipinos (3%) report the highest proportion of lesbian, gay, or bisexual populations.

FIGURE 3. SEXUAL ORIENTATION
Lesbian, Gay, or Bisexual, Age 18 and Older

The percentages of AAs and NHPIs who had same sex partners over the past 12 months were similar to the California average and slightly lower than the percentages for Whites (see Figure 4). More AA and NHPI men (3% and 4%, respectively) have same sex partners than women (1% and 2%, respectively), which is similar to all racial/ethnic groups except for American Indians/Alaska Natives. Among Other Asians, substantially more women (6%) than men (1%) had same sex partners in the past 12 months than among all other racial/ethnic groups.

FIGURE 4. SEXUAL PARTNERS BY GENDER
Same or Both Gender Sex Partners in Past 12 Months, Age 18 and Older

- Women
- Men

Among adults under age 70 who had at least 1 sexual partner in the past 12 months
For same sex households, no California data are currently available. The little existing national data on AA same sex couples indicate that the average age for individuals in Asian same sex couple households was mid-40s with two Asian partners and about 40 in which one of the partners was non-Asian (see Table 5).15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 5. ASIAN SAME SEX HOUSEHOLDS IN THE U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACS 2005-2007</th>
<th>AGE Average</th>
<th>GENDER % Men</th>
<th>EDUCATION Average # of Years</th>
<th>ENGLISH-SPEAKING ABILITY Not well</th>
<th>Well or Very Well</th>
<th>English Only</th>
<th>FOREIGN BORN %</th>
<th>HOURLY WAGE Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian-Asian Household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of Household</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>$26.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>$18.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-Non-Asian Household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of Household</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>$36.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$23.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When one individual was non-Asian, there was higher educational attainment, English proficiency, and higher wages for the household head and sometimes for partners compared to households with two Asian partners (household head and partner). The average education attainment level was higher for heads of household (15.9 years) and partners (14.3 years) when at least one individual was non-Asian, compared to households with two Asian partners. English language capacity was higher in same sex couple households where one individual was non-Asian. The highest average hourly wage was reported by heads of households ($36.50) in which one individual was non-Asian. The heads of same sex couple households in which both partners were Asian ($26.85) reported earnings similar to the partners in same sex couple households in which one partner was non-Asian ($23.35).

The smallest proportions of foreign-born individuals were heads of households (53%) in which one of the individuals was non-Asian. The proportions of immigrants were larger in same sex couple households in which both the heads of household (84%) and partners (88%) were Asian and for the partners (81%) in which one partner was non-Asian.
II. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXTS OF HEALTH: ASIAN AMERICAN, NATIVE HAWAIIAN, AND PACIFIC ISLANDER COMMUNITIES AND HEALTH CARE INFRASTRUCTURE

MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED COUNTRIES

MAP 4.

Medically Underserved AA and NHPI Counties (MUACs)


Sources: Bureau of Primary Health Care 2004 and U.S. Census 2000

Map by Silvia Jimenez & Paul Ong
The national Medically Underserved Area (MUA) index has been used to determine resource allocations across the federal system of community health centers, but it has not been updated since the 1970s and therefore does not adequately capture the diverse underserved people of color. The MUA index took into account the area’s poverty rate, infant mortality rate, percentage of population aged 65 years and older, and primary care physician-to-1,000 population (P-to-1,000P) ratio. However, the MUA index neglected to include the key indicators that most directly relate to AAs’ and NHPIs’ unique barriers in accessing health care. The Medically Underserved Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Counties (MUAC) Index\textsuperscript{16} was recently developed to highlight the flaws of the MUA index as well as identify medically underserved AA and NHPI areas. The MUAC index incorporated relevant indicators for AA and NHPIs with data available across counties throughout the U.S. and also included AA and NHPI population percentages, poverty rates, limited English proficiency (LEP) rates, and the P-to-1,000P ratios. Fourteen Medically Underserved Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Counties (MUACs) were identified in California (see Map 4), with Glenn, Merced, Butte, Fresno, and Yuba Counties in the top five. Most MUACs were located in the rural regions of Northern and Central California where concentrations of underserved Asian Americans reside, particularly Southeast Asians. Thirteen of these 14 counties were not captured by the Health Resources and Services Administration’s Bureau of Primary Health Care’s (BPHC) MUA designation. Glenn County was the only one that also fulfilled BPHC’s MUA criteria. The MUAC index can be used to identify the areas in California and the U.S. where underserved AAs and NHPIs lack access to primary health care, and support health care reform efforts to expand the reach of the safety net health centers. Future work to identify primary care delivery gaps among AAs and NHPIs will need to further examine geographic and racial/ethnic differences by disaggregated AA and NHPI populations in addition to considering AA and NHPI community health relevant indicators.

HEALTH CARE WORKFORCE

A linguistically and culturally proficient health care workforce is vital to serve diverse Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Californians. The top five AA and NHPI languages spoken by California’s licensed physicians are Cantonese (3,781), Hindi (7,998), Mandarin (7,829), Tagalog (4,654), and Vietnamese (3,933) (see Table 6). The five AA and NHPI languages that physicians speak least are Mien (61), Lao (142), Cambodian (146), Samoan (162), and Hmong (194).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AA and NHPI Languages Spoken by Physicians</th>
<th>CA TOTAL</th>
<th>AA AND NHPI PRIMARY LANGUAGES SPOKEN AT HOME</th>
<th>CA TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>7,998</td>
<td>Chinese (Cantanese, Mandarin, and other Chinese)</td>
<td>926,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>7,829</td>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>706,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>4,654</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>460,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>3,933</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>347,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantonese</td>
<td>3,781</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>143,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>3,087</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>123,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjabi</td>
<td>2,892</td>
<td>Mon-Khmer, Cambodian</td>
<td>68,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Chinese</td>
<td>2,692</td>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>67,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>1,137</td>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>41,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>Gujarati</td>
<td>39,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilocano (Philippines)</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>36,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>35,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Other Asian languages</td>
<td>114,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Other Pacific Island languages</td>
<td>115,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Other Indic languages</td>
<td>150,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mien (Laos, Vietnam, Thailand, China)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33,748,857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By primary languages spoken at home in California by AA and NHPI subgroups, Chinese (926,918) - including Cantonese, Mandarin, and other Chinese languages - is the most frequently spoken primary language at home, followed by Tagalog (706,785), Vietnamese (460,203), and Korean (347,778). By primary languages spoken at home, some of the major AA language groups without any or with an inadequate number of physicians who can speak their primary languages include Cambodian, Hmong, Thai, Gujarati, Urdu, and Laotian. In addition, few California physicians speak the languages of such limited English proficient Southeast Asian populations as the Hmong (49%), Cambodians (46%), and Laotians (43%), and the few physicians who do speak these languages may serve a region different from the AA and NHPI communities that most need language access to health care.

Ethnic concordance also plays a vital role to health care access and providing culturally relevant patient-centered care. Among physicians and registered nurses, it appears that ethnic concordant AA physicians (4.4 per 1,000 population) and registered nurses (11.0 per 1,000 population) are well represented in the health care workforce compared to the state average (2.3 physicians per 1,000 population and 5.9 nurses per 1,000 population) and Whites (2.6 physicians per 1,000 population and 6.1 nurses per 1,000 population).19

The increasing presence of AAs and NHPIs across a variety of disciplines in California’s health care system is a major asset, while also fulfilling market needs for health care workers. AAs and NHPIs are by far the fastest growing and among the largest health professional groups by race (see Table 7).20 From 2003 to 2007, AAs and NHPIs together accounted for almost two-thirds of all pharmacy school graduates (63%), the largest across all racial/ethnic groups. From 2003 to 2007, AAs and NHPIs represented over one-third of the California’s dental school graduates (36%), more than any other racial/ethnic group except for Whites (41%). In 2008, AA and NHPI physicians active in practice were estimated to represent over one-quarter (26%) of the physician workforce, more than all other racial/ethnic groups except for Whites (62%). From 2001 to 2008, AAs accounted for 25% of California’s new U.S. Citizen public health students, more than all other racial groups except for Whites (47%). From 2000 to 2008, Asians accounted for 28% of new nursing school enrollees, more than any other racial/ethnic groups except for Whites (44%).

However, for NHPIs and specific AA subgroups, ethnic concordance between health providers and patients is very low. NHPIs physicians (0.7 per 1,000) and nurses (4.2 per 1,000) were far below the state average for health providers per 1,000 population. Of all ethnic groups, the lowest ratios of physicians and nurses per 1,000 population are among Laotians (0 per 1,000 and 1.4 per 1,000, respectively), Cambodians (0.4 per 1,000 and 1.2 nurses, and Hmong (0.5 per 1,000 and 0.4 per 1,000). California physicians and nurses are severely underrepresented from Southeast Asian and NHPI subgroups.

### TABLE 7. HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS IN CALIFORNIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA and NHPI</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: ASPH = Association of Schools of Public Health; BRN = Bureau of Registered Nursing; CPEC = California Postsecondary Education Commission
Racial discrimination is a key social risk factor that has major impacts on health-related quality of life and health care access for Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders in California. Those who experience discrimination may face more stress, leading to diminished well-being. AAs (28%) and NHPIs (23%) reported being treated badly because of race or ethnicity at least “sometimes”, “often”, or “all of the time” more frequently than the California average (21%) and substantially more often than Whites (11%) (see Figure 5). Among AA subgroups, Filipinos (34%) reported the highest rates of perceived discrimination, followed by Japanese (28%) and Chinese (28%). These rates are similar to those of American Indians/Alaska Natives (31%) and Latinos (29%). In a medical case setting, AAs (7%) and NHPIs (6%) believed that they would have received better medical care if they were a different race or ethnicity, similar to the California average (6%) but substantially higher than Whites (3%). Among AA subgroups, Other Asians (10%) and Koreans (9%) reported the highest levels of perceived unequal treatment, almost as high as Blacks (12%), American Indians/Alaska Natives (10%), and Latinos (10%).

source: Adult CHIS 2003, 2005
Food consumption patterns affect the health and well-being of all Californians every day. Food insecurity, in particular, is a major social health challenge among underserved Californians. Food insecurity is measured using a scale based on questions about not having enough money to purchase food, eating less healthy or smaller meals to stretch limited money, and frequency of going hungry. Asian Americans (7%) were below the California average (10%) and higher than Whites (5%) for food insecurity, while Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (10%) were similar to the state average and double the rate of Whites (see Figure 6). Among AA subgroups, Laotians (26%) and Cambodians (24%) reported the highest rates of food insecurity. Among NHPI subgroups, Tongans had the highest rate (27%), higher than any other racial/ethnic group. Burmese and Malaysians did not report any food insecurity.

Among older adults, AAs (2.2 times) and NHPIs (1.3 times) aged 65 years and older reported higher rates of food insecurity than the statewide average, and AAs aged 65 years and older were the only racial/ethnic group among whom more reported food insecurity than those who were younger (13% vs. 7%) (see Figure 7). Further, Vietnamese (4.5 times) and Koreans (3.2 times) aged 65 years and older reported the highest rates of food insecurity across all racial/ethnic groups. The results indicate that some AA and NHPI elders face serious food security issues as a result of not having enough money to purchase food, eating less healthy to stretch their money, and going hungry.

Negative food consumption and associated dietary behaviors such as low fruit and vegetable consumption have been linked to obesity and chronic conditions. By fruit consumption per week, AAs (8 times) and NHPIs (9 times) reported similar rates as the California average (8 times) and other major racial/ethnic groups (7 to 8 times) (see Figure 8). However, all groups fall below the federal recommended guidelines of two servings of fruit of day (i.e., 14 servings per week). By AA subgroups, Indonesian (5 times) and Hmong (5 times) reported the lowest rates of fruit consumption among all racial/ethnic groups, while Malaysians (12 times) and Taiwanese (9 times) reported the highest rates of fruit consumption. By NHPI subgroups, Fijians (14 times) reported the highest average fruit consumption per week followed by Samoans (10 times).
Fast food consumption also impacts the everyday health of AA and NHPI communities. AA adults (1.2 times) consumed less fast food weekly than the California average (1.5 times) and were similar to Whites (1.2 times), while NHPI adults (1.5 times) consumed fast food at similar rates as the California average (see Figure 9). Among AA subgroups, Other Asians (1.7 times) and Filipinos (1.5 times) reported the highest rates of fast food consumption while Chinese (1.0 time) and Koreans (1.0 time) reported the lowest rates. Compared to adults, most adolescents (age 12 to 17 years old) consumed more fast food than adults. AA adolescents (1.6 times) consumed slightly less than the California average (1.8 times) and were similar to Whites (1.6 times), while NHPI adolescents (2.4 times) consumed the most fast food compared to the state average and all racial/ethnic groups, and were similar to the American Indian/Alaska Native rates (2.4 times). Among AA subgroups, Filipino (1.9 times) and Korean (1.8 times) adolescents reported the highest fast food consumption rates while Vietnamese (1.2 times) and South Asian (1.3 times) adolescents reported the lowest rates.
NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTH

In addition to the food environment, neighborhood cohesion, stability, and safety are vital to cultivating healthy communities. Looking at familiarity among neighbors, fewer Asian Americans (7%) reported that they strongly agree that people in their neighborhood know each other than the California average (10%) and all other racial/ethnic groups, while Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (16%) reported the highest rates of familiarity with neighbors compared to the state average and all other racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 10). Among AA subgroups, Chinese (5%), Koreans (5%), and Vietnamese (6%) strongly agreed that people in their neighborhood know each other, indicating lower neighborhood social interaction, while Japanese (11%) and South Asians (9%) had the highest reported rates of neighbor familiarity.
By average length of residence, AAs (98 months or 8.2 years) and NHPIs (97 months or 8.1 years) resided in their current residence for fewer months on average in comparison to the California average (115 months or 9.6 years) and Whites (135 months or 11.3 years) (see Figure 11). Overall, AAs and NHPIs experienced similar residential instability as Latinos, and more instability than White, Black, and American Indian/Alaska Native groups. By AA subgroups, the Hmong (33 months or 2.8 years) experienced the most residential instability, while Japanese (183 months or 15.3 years) experienced the least. By NHPI subgroups, Samoans (68 months or 5.7 years) experienced the most residential instability while Guamanians/Chamorros (99 months or 8.3 years) experienced the least.

Safety is also an important indicator of neighborhood health and stability. Fewer AAs (57%) and NHPIs (53%) reported feeling safe in the neighborhood all of the time than the California average (63%) and most other racial/ethnic groups except for Blacks (55%) (see Figure 12). By AA subgroups, Koreans (47%) reported the lowest rates of neighborhood safety across all racial/ethnic groups while Vietnamese (69%) reported the highest rates.
FIGURE 11. LENGTH OF TIME IN NEIGHBORHOOD
Number of Months Lived at Current Address (mean), Age 18 and Older


FIGURE 12. NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY
Feels Safe in Neighborhood All of the Time, Age 18 or Older

Source: Adult CHIS 2005, 2007
Among: adults who either own or rent a home
III. KEY POPULATION HEALTH CONCERNS FACING CALIFORNIA’S ASIAN AMERICANS, NATIVE HAWAIIANS, AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS

MAJOR DISEASE INDICATORS

CANCER AND CANCER SCREENING

California’s Asian Americans are the only major racial group for whom the leading cause of death is cancer.\(^\text{26-27}\) Cancer is the second leading cause of death for all Californians. When looking at California's cancer deaths, AAs (27.7%) and Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (25.4%) have higher rates than Whites (23.3%), Blacks (22.4%), Latinos (20.4%), and American Indians/Alaska Natives (20.3%). By AA subgroups, Koreans (31%), Chinese (31%), and Vietnamese (30.1%) have the highest proportion of cancer deaths by race/ethnicity.

Cancer screenings for cervical, breast, and colorectal cancers have been shown to reduce mortality rates. However, AAs often report screening rates far below other racial/ethnic groups.\(^\text{28}\) For cervical cancer screening, AAs (29%) have nearly double the rate of non-compliance with Pap test recommendations as the state average (16%), with Koreans (35%), Other Asians (35%), and Vietnamese (30%) having the highest rates of non-compliance among all racial/ethnic groups. For breast cancer screening, AAs (26%) have higher rates of non-compliance with mammogram recommendations than the state average (23%), with Koreans (41%) and Other Asians (37%) having the highest rates of non-compliance among all racial/ethnic groups. For colorectal cancer screening, NHPIs (57%) and AAs (53%) reported higher rates of non-compliance with colorectal cancer screening recommendations than the state average (46%), with Koreans (70%), Filipinos (59%), and South Asians (59%) having the highest rates of non-compliance across all racial/ethnic groups.

CHRONIC HEPATITIS B AND LIVER CANCER

Chronic Hepatitis B and liver cancer account for the greatest health disparity between AAs and other racial/ethnic groups in the U.S.\(^\text{29-30}\) The estimated prevalence of chronic Hepatitis B among AA adults (10%) is 20 times higher than the total U.S. adult prevalence rate (0.5%). In particular, AAs account for the largest proportion of chronic Hepatitis B cases in California, with chronic Hepatitis B accounting for the majority of liver cancer incidence and mortality.

Among AA subgroups from 1997 to 2001, Vietnamese (33.3 per 100,000 population), Korean (20.2 per 100,000 population), and Chinese (14.1 per 100,000 population) Californians reported the highest liver cancer incidence rates.\(^\text{31}\) At the same time, Vietnamese (20.8 per 100,000 population), Korean (15.6 per 100,000 population), and Chinese (12.4 per 100,000 population) Californians reported the highest liver cancer mortality rates. In addition, excluding Japanese, AA men have substantially higher liver cancer incidence and mortality rates than AA women (2.4 times to 3.4 times; 2.0 times to 3.7 times respectively).

OBESITY AND DIABETES

Among children, California schools use Healthy Fitness Zones (HFZ) to evaluate whether a student meets the HFZ goal of physical activity and body composition. NHPIs reported the highest proportion of 5th graders whose Body Mass Index (BMI) are not in the HFZ, with Samoans (53.9%) and Other Pacific Islanders (41.5%) having the highest rates across all racial/ethnic groups. Guamanian (34.7%), Native Hawaiian (34.6%), and Tahitian (34.4%) Californians also reported higher rates of 5th graders whose BMI are not in the HFZ compared to the state average (32%). Among AA subgroups, Filipino (29.6%) and Laotian (28.7%) 5th graders reported the highest rates of not being within the HFZ.
Among adults, NHPIs (38% overweight; 32% obese) reported the highest proportions of overweight and obese adults, as well as combined overweight and obese adults across all racial/ethnic groups. Among AA subgroups, Filipinos (35% overweight; 11% obese) reported the highest proportion of overweight and obese adults, as well as combined overweight and obese adults.

Compared to other racial/ethnic groups, NHPIs (10.8%) have some of the highest rates of diabetes. Among AA subgroups, Filipinos (8.8%), Japanese (7.7%), and Vietnamese (7%) reported diabetes rates higher than the California average (6.8%) and Whites (4.9%).

**TUBERCULOSIS**

AAs and NHPIs account for the largest proportion of all tuberculosis cases in California. Tuberculosis case rates for AAs and NHPIs combined (25.5 per 100,000) are 3.5 and 18.2 times higher than the tuberculosis case rates for the California average (7.2 per 100,000) and Whites (1.4 per 100,000) in 2007. In addition, AAs and NHPIs combined accounted for 42% of all tuberculosis cases in California from 1998 to 2007. Even though the total number of tuberculosis cases declined among all racial/ethnic groups from 1998 to 2007, AAs and NHPIs reported the smallest declines, with the AA and NHPI tuberculosis burden actually increasing during this period. The proportion of tuberculosis cases by AA and NHPI Californians increased from 39.6% of the total cases in California in 1998 to 46.3% of the total cases in 2007. Foreign-born Californians accounted for 77.5% of the tuberculosis cases in 2007, with the largest proportion of AA foreign-born tuberculosis cases reported by Filipino (22.6%), Vietnamese (12.2%), Chinese (6.2%), and Asian Indian (4.8%) Californians.

**GENERAL HEALTH STATUS**

![Figure 13. General Health Status](source: Adult CHIS 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007)
HEALTH STATUS

Self-reported general health condition is one of the most common measures to assess health condition and reflects physical, behavioral, and social aspects of health and well-being. One in five Asian American (20%) and Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (19%) adults reported their general health condition as fair or poor, similar to the California average (20%) and much higher than Whites (14%) (see Figure 13). Among AA subgroups, Vietnamese (39%) reported the highest rates of fair or poor health among all racial/ethnic groups, followed by Cambodians (23%), Koreans (22%), and Taiwanese (22%). Bangladeshis (1%) reported the lowest rates of fair or poor health, followed by Asian Indians (6%), Tongans (6%), and Hmong (9%). Among NHPI subgroups, Samoans (26%) reported the highest rates of fair or poor health, while Tongans (6%) reported the lowest rates of fair or poor health.

MENTAL HEALTH

Mental health among AAs and NHPIs has been difficult to assess due to the combination of the model minority myth, the underrepresentation of AAs and NHPIs in epidemiological studies, the lack of disaggregated data, the conceptualization of physical and mental health as one, the immigrant and refugee transitions, and the role of racial discrimination.35 36 The Kessler-6 Scale for serious psychological distress assesses individuals who feel depressed, worthless, nervous, restless, hopeless, and that everything is an effort in the past 30 days. According to this scale, AA adults aged 18 to 64 (3%) reported similar rates of serious psychological distress as Californian adults overall (4%) and White adults (3%). NHPI adults (8%) reported substantially higher rates of serious psychological distress than the state total (2 times) and White adults, and were similar to American Indians/Alaska Natives (9%) (see Figure 14). Among AA subgroups, Korean adults (5%) reported the highest rates of serious psychological distress, similar to Black (6%) and Latino (5%) adults, while South Asian (2%) and Japanese (3%) adults reported the lowest rates. In addition, Korean elders (9%) reported substantially higher rates of serious psychological distress than the state average (4.5 times) and all other racial/ethnic groups except for American Indian/Alaska Native elders. These findings suggest some serious mental health concerns among AAs and NHPIs, particularly among Korean elders and NHPI adults.
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Physical activity is a key determinant for maintaining and enhancing physical, behavioral, and social health. Physical activity increases muscle and bone strength, lowers risks for chronic diseases, decreases body fat, enhances psychological well-being, and reduces depression and anxiety. For adults, federal guidelines recommend 150 minutes of moderate physical activity a week or an equivalent combination of moderate and vigorous physical activity. Moderate physical activity is defined as activities that make one breathe somewhat harder than normal and includes carrying light loads, bicycling at regular pace, or playing doubles tennis. Fewer AAs (61%) engaged in activities that take moderate physical effort in the past seven days than the California average (66%) and Whites (71%), while more NHPIs (76%) engaged in moderate physical activity than the state average and all other major racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 15). When examined by AA subgroups, Cambodians (56%) and Chinese (56%) reported the lowest rates of engaging in moderate physical activity across all racial/ethnic groups, while Sri Lankans (81%) reported the highest rates of engaging in moderate physical activity across all racial/ethnic groups. For NHPI subgroups, Guamanians (72%) reported the lowest rates of among NHPIs, while Tongans (79%) reported the highest rates of moderate physical activity.
Vigorous physical activity is a key health measure for adults. Federal guidelines recommend 75 minutes a week of vigorous physical activity or an equivalent combination of moderate and vigorous physical activity. Vigorous physical activity is defined as activities that make one breathe much harder than normal, such as heavy lifting, digging, aerobics, or fast bicycling. Fewer AAs (32%) engaged in activities that take vigorous physical effort in the past seven days than the California average (37%) and Whites (40%), while more NHPIs (39%) engaged in vigorous physical activity than the state average and were similar to Whites (see Figure 16). Among AA subgroups, Burmese (12%), Taiwanese (26%), and Chinese (28%) reported the lowest rates of engaging in vigorous physical activity across all racial/ethnic groups, while Vietnamese (88%), Malaysians (66%), and Indonesians (49%) reported the highest rates of vigorous physical activity across all racial/ethnic groups. Among NHPI subgroups, Tongans (29%) reported the lowest rates of vigorous physical activity, while Native Hawaiians (46%) and Fijians (46%) reported the highest rates of physical activity among NHPIs.

HEALTH CARE ACCESS

INSURANCE COVERAGE

Health care coverage is a critical indicator of health care access and will be positively impacted by health care reform. Asian Americans (14%) and Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders (15%) reported slightly lower rates of being currently uninsured compared to the California average (16%), and much higher uninsured rates than Whites (9%) (see Figure 17). Among AA subgroups, Koreans (33%) reported the highest currently uninsured rates, followed by Hmong (24%), Cambodians (22%), and Indonesians (22%), all substantially higher than the state average, while Burmese (2%) and Japanese (7%) reported the lowest rates of uninsured, the lowest rates across all racial/ethnic groups. By NHPI subgroups, Tongans (39%) reported the highest currently uninsured rates, the highest across all racial/ethnic groups, followed by Fijians (26%), while Guamanians (12%) reported the lowest currently uninsured rates among NHPIs.

FIGURE 17. HEALTH INSURANCE
Currently Not Covered by Health Insurance, Age 18 and Older

USUAL SOURCE OF CARE

In addition to health care coverage, having a usual source of care is vital to accessing health care services. The percentage of AAs (16%) who reported that they did not have a usual place to go to when sick or needing health advice was similar to the California average (16%), but higher than Whites (11%). NHPIs (18%) reported higher rates of not having a usual source of care than the state average and Whites (see Figure 18). By AA subgroups, Hmong (38%) had the highest rates of not having a usual place to go when sick or needing health advice, the highest across all racial/ethnic groups, followed by Koreans (27%) and Vietnamese (20%). Bangladeshis (5%) reported the lowest rates of not having a usual source of care, followed by Malaysians (10%) and Filipinos (11%). By NHPI subgroups, Tongans (37%) reported the highest rates of not having a usual source of care, while Guamanians (15%) reported the lowest rates.
Emergency room (ER) visits are also a key measure of health care access, particularly for underserved and at risk populations. Emergency room visits provide a way to measure multiple health issues: lack of preventive care and screening, delay of care, and at times, lack of health care coverage. Far fewer AAs (12%) reported visiting the emergency room for their own health in the past 12 months than the California average (18%) and Whites (19%), while substantially more NHPIs (30%) reported visiting the ER compared to the state average and all racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 19). Among AA subgroups, more Burmese (29%) and Malaysians (22%) and fewer Cambodians (4%) and Bangladeshis (6%) visited the ER. Among NHPI subgroups, Tongans (45%) reported the highest ER rates, followed by Samoans (28%), while Guamanians (17%) reported the lowest ER rates.

California women reported higher rates of fair or poor health compared to men for all racial/ethnic groups. Asian American women (1.2 times) reported slightly higher rates of fair or poor health than AA men and higher rates compared to the California average and White women and men (see Figure 20). Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (NHPI) women (1.1 times) reported slightly lower rates of fair or poor health than NHPI men and higher rates than Whites, but lower rates compared to the state average. For AA subgroups, more than twice as many Vietnamese women (44%) reported fair or poor health compared to the state average (21%), which was the highest rate across all racial/ethnic groups by gender. Korean (1.5 times) and Vietnamese (1.2 times) women more often reported fair or poor health than men, similar to the differences between Black women (1.3 times) and men.
GENDER AND FERTILITY RATE

There is wide variation among AA and NHPI women in terms of fertility. Hmong women (11.0 per 100) reported the highest fertility rates across all racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 21). Further, Asian Indian (7.0 per 100) and Cambodian (7.0 per 100) women reported substantially higher rates than the state average and were similar to Latinas (7.2 per 100). Tongan women (3.9 per 100) reported the lowest fertility rates across all racial/ethnic groups followed by Chinese (4.1 per 100) and Japanese (4.2 per 100) women.

![Figure 21: Fertility Rates](image)

Source: ACS 2006 - 2008

CALIFORNIA HEALTHY NAIL SALON COLLABORATIVE

The California Healthy Nail Salon Collaborative was created in 2005 out of growing concern for the health and safety of nail salon and other cosmetology workers, owners, and consumers, many of whom are Vietnamese women. "The Collaborative" uses policy advocacy, research, industry advocacy outreach, and education strategies to address health and safety concerns facing these communities. The Collaborative's members include nail salon workers and owners, as well as non profit and community organizations. The issues addressed include environmental and reproductive health/justice, labor issues, and Asian American community health. The collaborative also works with educational institutions and government agency allies. The mission of the Collaborative is to advance a preventive environmental health agenda for the nail salon sector in California. For more information, visit: [http://cahealthynailsalons.org/](http://cahealthynailsalons.org/).
GENDER AND FOOD INSECURITY

Food insecurity is a major environmental health issue among underserved Californians, but there are additional issues related to gender. California women (1.3 times) are more affected by food insecurity than men of the same race/ethnicity, when assessed using a scale based on questions about not having enough money to purchase food, eating less healthy or smaller meals to stretch limited money, and frequency of going hungry (see Figure 22). At first glance, food insecurity appears to affect AA and NHPI women and men almost equally, in contrast to the state average and other racial/ethnic groups. However, among AA and NHPI subgroups, there were more Korean (1.6 times) and Vietnamese (1.5 times) women facing food insecurity than men compared to the state average (1.3 times) and across all racial/ethnic groups. In addition, Japanese and NHPIs were the only racial/ethnic groups in which more men reported food insecurity than women. These results indicate that food insecurity disproportionately affects women of color, with Vietnamese women (21%) most affected among AAs and NHPIs, at rates similar to American Indian/Alaska Native women (21%).

FIGURE 22. FOOD INSECURITY BY GENDER
Money to Purchase Food, Eat Less Healthy Meal to Stretch Money, Frequency of Going Hungry,
Age 18 and Older

GENDER AND MENTAL HEALTH

AA women reported more serious psychological distress 2 times more than AA men. Californian women and White women reported 1.3 times more psychological distress than men in the same groups (see Figure 23). In addition, among AA subgroups, Japanese (3 times), South Asian (3 times), and Korean women (2.3 times) reported the highest serious psychological distress compared to men. Korean (7%) and Vietnamese (5%) women reported distress rates that were higher than the state average for women overall (4%) and White women (4%). In contrast, NHPI men (3.7 times) reported much higher serious psychological distress compared to NHPI women. Further, NHPIs were the only racial/ethnic group with more men reporting serious psychological distress than women. NHPI men (11%) reported the highest rates of serious psychological distress across all racial/ethnic groups, and were similar to American Indian/Alaska Native women (12%).

FIGURE 23. MENTAL HEALTH BY GENDER
Serious Psychological Distress, Age 18 and Older

Source: Adult CHIS 2005, 2007
Based score of 13 or higher on the Kessler-6 Scale
GENDER AND VIOLENCE

Almost one in four California women and over one in ten California men reported having experienced physical or sexual violence as an adult in their lifetime (see Figure 24). California women are 2.1 times more likely to experience physical or sexual violence as an adult than are California men. AAs reported lower rates of experiencing physical or sexual violence than the state average and Whites, while NHPIs reported higher rates than the state average and Whites. In contrast, NHPI women (27%) and NHPI men (16%) reported the highest rates of experiencing physical or sexual violence among AAs and NHPIs, while Japanese, Chinese, and South Asian men reported the lowest rates across all racial/ethnic groups.

Among AA subgroups, however, Japanese women reported much more often than Japanese men (7 times) that they experienced physical or sexual violence compared to all racial/ethnic groups by gender. Although on average, men in California tended to report physical or sexual violence as adults less often than women, this was not the case for Korean and Vietnamese men, who reported experiencing more violence than women.

Among adults 65 years and younger

Source: Adult CHIS 2007

Among adults 65 years and younger
Among Californian adults who have experienced physical violence in their lifetime, 1.9 times more Californian men reported recent intimate partner physical violence in the past year than women (see Figure 25). Filipino men (52%), Vietnamese women (44%), and Chinese men (44%) reported the highest rates of recent intimate partner physical violence among AA and NHPI subgroups in the past year. Chinese (4 times) and Filipino (3.3 times) men reported that they experienced recent intimate partner violence more often than women of the same ethnicity in the past year. However, Japanese, NHPI, Vietnamese, and Korean women more often reported recent intimate partner physical violence than men of the same ethnic background. These racial/ethnic and gender variations point to the need to highlight intimate partner physical violence for men (especially Filipino and Chinese), and women (especially Vietnamese, Japanese, NHPI, and Korean).

**FIGURE 25. INTIMATE PARTNER PHYSICAL VIOLENCE**
Recent Intimate Partner Physical Violence in Past Year
among those who have experienced physical violence in their lifetime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Total</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Total</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI Total</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adult CHIS 2007
Among: adults 65 or younger who ever experienced physical violence
GENDER AND STD SCREENING

California women were 1.7 times more likely than California men to be tested for sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) in the past 12 months (see Figure 26). Among all racial/ethnic groups, NHPI women (42%) reported the highest rates of testing for STDs, and Korean (4%) and Japanese (7%) men reported the lowest rates. There is a clear need for targeted STD screening information and awareness about STD transmission for specific AA and NHPI subgroups by gender, especially Korean and Japanese men.

FIGURE 26. STD SCREENING BY GENDER
Tested for STD (including HIV) in Past 12 Months, Age 18 and Older

Source: Adult CHIS 2005, 2007
Among adults under age 70 who had at least 1 sexual partner in the last 12 months
California women reported slightly more often than California men that they had ever been tested for HIV (see Figure 27). AAs reported substantially lower rates of HIV testing than the state average and Whites. NHPI women (56%), Filipina women (47%), NHPI men (47%), and Filipino men (44%) reported the highest rates of HIV testing among AAs and NHPIs subgroups. Korean men (24%), Chinese men (25%), Korean women (27%), and Chinese women (29%) reported the lowest rates of HIV testing across all racial/ethnic groups by gender. There is a clear need for targeted HIV testing information and awareness about HIV transmission for specific AA subgroups by gender, especially Korean and Chinese men and women.

![FIGURE 27. HIV SCREENING BY GENDER](image)

Source: Adult CHIS 2005, 2007
Among adults under age 70
The enactment of health care reform will improve access to affordable, quality care for Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander (AA and NHPI) communities. The projected doubling of the safety net population from 20 to 40 million will benefit safety net health centers that serve medically underserved AAs and NHPIs. The increased support to the National Health Service Corps will facilitate the training of bilingual and bicultural physicians, including physicians representing select AA and NHPI subgroups.

Federally-funded health programs will collect data on race, ethnicity, and primary language, and where necessary, oversample sub-populations to ensure sufficient data collection, furthering our understanding of health disparities and prevention strategies. The elevation of the National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities to the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities signals a stronger commitment from the federal government to address minority health research issues. Health care reform also codifies the Office of Minority Health within the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and a network of minority health offices within DHHS to monitor minority health and the quality of care minority patients receive. This further solidifies the federal government’s intent to mitigate health disparities.

These advancements lay the groundwork for improved health, but future improvements are needed to ensure true, effective reform. Additional improvements include:

- Remove the five-year waiting period for newly arriving legal immigrants to help address health disparities and increase access to care for AAs and NHPIs.
- Remove prohibitions against undocumented immigrants that prevent purchasing health insurance through Exchanges and create a system of coverage that serves and includes everyone.
ISSUE 1: NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTH AND FOOD CONSUMPTION

SUMMARY:

Policy changes are needed to support AA and NHPI neighborhoods. AA and NHPIs are less likely than most other racial/ethnic groups to know their neighbors or feel safe in their neighborhoods. Neighborhood cohesion—one’s sense of residential safety—is vital to cultivating healthy communities. Policy changes are also needed to help assure food security and healthy food choices in AA and NHPI communities. One in 10 NHPIs experience food insecurity; furthermore, fast food consumption by AA and NHPI adolescents outpaces fast food consumption by adults.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Support local leaders and programs that promote neighborhood safety and social interaction in AA and NHPI neighborhoods like Neighborhood Watch, community policing programs, and National Night Out, an annual neighborhood crime prevention event. Use “built environment” strategies, such as lighting, stop signs, and signage, to increase safety.
2. Pressure local elected and appointed officials to implement policies that promote the availability of healthy choices in underserved AA and NHPI neighborhoods.
4. Support existing neighborhood models and foster peer-support models for physical activity among NHPI and Filipino adults and children.

ISSUE 2: HEALTH STATUS AND SPECIFIC DISEASE AREAS

SUMMARY:

Very little is known about AA and NHPI health status, due to lack of data. The little health data available about AAs and NHPIs appear to indicate that AAs and NHPIs are doing better than the state average. However, upon a closer look, AAs and NHPIs experience a wide range of health status and health care needs. Stark differences appear across racial/ethnic groups, with certain AA and NHPI subgroups encountering major health and disease disparities.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Reduce Hepatitis B rates in the AA and NHPI population by increased screening and vaccination among adolescents and adults. Since July 1, 1999, all California students entering the 7th grade are required to be immunized against Hepatitis B. Outreach, screening, and vaccination of AAs and NHPIs must continue to protect California’s population against this infectious disease.
2. Reduce tuberculosis (TB) rates among AA immigrant groups, particularly among immigrants from the Philippines and Vietnam, by supporting county efforts in health education, surveillance, and prevention and control programs.
3. Continue to support counties, community and provider organizations that undertake education and awareness campaigns to reduce the stigma of Hepatitis B, TB, cancer, and sexually transmitting diseases, including HIV, and that promote culturally-competent prevention and control efforts.
4. Create legislation that supports the health care system in the prevention and control of obesity and diabetes, targeting NHPI and Filipino children and adults.
5. Create policies promoting diversification of the mental health workforce to respond to the unique mental health needs and mental health access issues of AAs and NHPIs, with special attention to AA and NHPI adults who report high rates of frequent and severe mental distress.
ISSUE 3: ACCESS TO CARE

SUMMARY:

Given that more than one in three AAs and one in ten NHPIs have limited English proficiency, California must assure that this LEP population has meaningful language and cultural access to health care. The recently passed health care reform law advances quality care for many Americans; however, AA and NHPI communities will still face language, cultural, and health system barriers in accessing quality care. In addition, more than three in five of Asian Americans are foreign born. Thus, the current five-year waiting period imposed on tax-paying, legal immigrants who are seeking Medicaid coverage has a considerable impact on access to care. Furthermore, denying undocumented immigrants the opportunity to purchase health insurance through the newly created Exchanges only serves to make health care more expensive for everyone.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Support culturally and linguistically appropriate patient education programs emphasizing the importance of having a regular source of care, getting an annual physical exam, and getting screened for certain cancers, Hepatitis B, and TB.
2. Continue to monitor and hold health plans accountable for implementing SB 853, the Health Care Language Access Act, which requires health plans to provide language services (translated documents and interpreters) at all points of care.
3. Support a pilot project to test a hybrid delivery system for language services, as proposed by the Medi-Cal Language Access Services Taskforce. This system would test both a broker and direct provider billing system for language service delivery.
4. Remove the five-year waiting period for newly arriving legal immigrants to help address health disparities and increase access to care for AAs and NHPIs.
5. Remove prohibitions against undocumented immigrants that prevent purchasing health insurance through Exchanges and create a system of coverage that serves and includes everyone.

ISSUE 4: HEALTH CARE WORKFORCE

SUMMARY:

Currently, the supply of racial/ethnic minority health providers in the state does not reflect the diversity of the patient population. This is particularly true for groups such as Southeast Asians and Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders. Recent health care reform legislation promotes diversifying the nation’s health workforce and provides a tremendous opportunity for California to increase the diversity of its health workforce.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Encourage health care providers, particularly in the 14 medically underserved AA and NHPI counties, to apply for grants established by recent health care reform legislation that would fund training for culturally appropriate care and services.
2. Support workforce development and training programs that increase the availability and competency of bilingual and bicultural health professionals.
3. Encourage public health departments, clinics, and hospitals to apply for grants established by recent health care legislation that promote the use of community health workers in medically underserved areas.
4. Support the development of a statewide master plan on increasing diversity in the health care workforce.
ISSUE 5: WOMEN’S HEALTH AND PARTNER VIOLENCE

SUMMARY:

While AA women are less likely than their counterparts in other racial/ethnic groups to have experienced physical or sexual violence, some AA women report recent intimate partner violence at more than double the rate of other racial/ethnic groups. AA and NHPI men are also reporting victimization, especially for particular racial/ethnic subgroups.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Reauthorize and fully fund the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act to allow communities to continue to provide life-saving services to victims of domestic violence and their children; funding is necessary for emergency shelters, crisis lines, counseling and advocacy. Violence prevention is necessary to meet the needs of underserved communities and break the cycle of violence.
2. Assure adequate funding for California’s network of domestic violence shelters.
3. Continue to support counties and community and provider organizations that undertake education and awareness campaigns to reduce the stigma of reporting partner violence, and promote culturally-competent prevention and treatment efforts.

ISSUE 6: DATA AND RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT

SUMMARY:

In California and across the nation, data available for AAs and NHPIs lag far behind data on other racial/ethnic groups. Existing state and national secondary data sets often restrict access to disaggregated, or individualized, AA and NHPI data. In addition, aggregated, or combined, AA and NHPI data fail to capture the diversity and stark differences across AA and NHPI subgroups. Policies are needed to facilitate open access to subgroup data from existing large data sets. Support for new primary data collection and longitudinal studies are needed to fully capture the diverse social and health assets and needs faced by the AA and NHPI communities.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Expand collection of subgroup data for AA and NHPI populations; require all California agencies and commissions to match current U.S. Census data categories.
2. Increase awareness among national surveillance and epidemiological study teams of the need to collect disaggregated AA and NHPI racial/ethnic data.
3. Encourage health and policy research institutions, such as the National Institutes of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, to prioritize research and data collection on AA and NHPI subgroups.
This report is a product of secondary data analyses of several sources, as well as a compilation of information from published administrative reports and peer-reviewed articles. The specific data source is noted directly in each figure or table along with any subsample restrictions (e.g., age, gender, or if those who answered the question had to have specific responses to previous questions).

The most frequently used data sources included the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey (ACS, available at http://www.census.gov/) and the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS, available at http://www.chis.ucla.edu/). The ACS is a new national household survey that collects population and housing information annually instead of every 10 years. The primary ACS data that the report utilized included the 3-year ACS estimates from 2006 to 2008 as well as the 1-year estimates from ACS 2008.

The CHIS is the nation’s largest state health survey. It takes place every two years through a random-dial telephone survey conducted by the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Center for Health Policy Research (http://www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu/) in collaboration with the California Department of Public Health (http://www.cdph.ca.gov/), the Department of Health Care Services (http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/), and the Public Health Institute (http://www.phi.org/). To capture the rich diversity of the California population, interviews for CHIS are conducted in five languages: English, Spanish, Chinese (Mandarin and Cantonese dialects), Vietnamese, and Korean.

All available CHIS data were used for this report, from each of the four years that the survey has been conducted (2001, 2003, 2005, and 2007), as well as from the adolescent (12-17 years of age) and adult (18 years and older) survey populations. Total sample sizes are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>5,858</td>
<td>4,010</td>
<td>4,029</td>
<td>3,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>56,270</td>
<td>42,044</td>
<td>43,020</td>
<td>51,048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because it is not feasible to survey every household in California, and because CHIS is not a simple random sample, CHIS uses a probability sampling method in an attempt to accurately represent the population of adults, adolescents, and children living within households in California. Population weights were also applied to produce accurate population estimates and totals. The weight variables were constructed through a complex and iterative process by Westat (http://www.westat.com/) using population totals obtained from the California Department of Finance (www.dof.ca.gov/) and the American Community Survey (www.census.gov/acs/).

SPSS 16.0 (Chicago, IL) was used for all CHIS analyses.
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Since the 1960s, 20 Asian Americans have served in the California State Legislature, beginning with Korean American Alfred Song. A number of notable Asian Americans followed, including Congressman Mike Honda (CA-15), Floyd Mori, current National Executive Director of the Japanese American Citizen League, and Wilma Chan, Vice President of Policy for Children Now. At present, the 11-member Asian Pacific Islander Joint Legislative Caucus is the largest it has been since its formation in 2001.

ASIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER JOINT LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS

Formed in 2001 by Assemblymembers George Nakano (D-Torrance), Wilma Chan (D-Oakland) and Carol Liu (D-La Canada Flintridge), the Asian Pacific Islander Joint Legislative Caucus of the California State Legislature represents and advocates for the interests of the diverse Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander (AA and NHPI) communities throughout California. The Caucus strives to increase AA and NHPI participation in all levels of government. Since its establishment, its members have authored and supported a wide array of legislation benefiting the AA and NHPI community in areas of civil rights, health disparities, and consumer protection. Caucus members serve in key leadership positions in the Legislature, which allows them to have maximum influence on the State’s policymaking process and its subsequent impact on AA and NHPI communities. It is the second largest ethnic Caucus in the Legislature.

TRI-CAUCUS WORK

In 2008, the Asian Pacific Islander Legislative Caucus, the Black Legislative Caucus, and the Latino Legislative Caucus began working with a coalition of 10 large California foundations to strengthen support for minority and low-income communities. The foundations pledged to work together to make grants “that provide capacity-building support and technical assistance targeted to minority-led and grassroots, community-based organizations that primarily serve minority and low-income communities” in California. In addition, the foundations support leadership development activities that “bolster and train executives, staff, and board members for the nonprofit and philanthropic sectors.”

COMMISSION ON ASIAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER AMERICAN AFFAIRS

The Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs was established in 2002 by AB 116 (Nakano) to “elevate the political, economic, and social issues of Asians and Pacific Islanders by contributing to and strengthening how state government addresses the needs, issues, and concerns” of AA and NHPI communities. It is charged with advising the Governor, the Legislature, state agencies, departments, and commissions on issues relating to the social and economic development, rights, and interests of AA and NHPI communities.
NOTABLE POLICIES

DYMALLY-ALATORRE BILINGUAL SERVICES ACT

The Dymally-Alatorre Bilingual Services Act (1973) requires state and local agencies serving a “substantial amount of non-English speaking people” to employ a “sufficient number of qualified bilingual staff in public contact positions” and to translate documents explaining available services into their clients’ languages.46

AB 540 (FIREBAUGH)

AB 540 (2001) allows qualifying students, including undocumented immigrant students, to pay in-state tuition fees at California’s public college and universities. To qualify for in-state tuition under AB 540, students must meet all of the following requirements: attend a California high school for three years or more, graduate from a California high school or receive the equivalent of a high school diploma, be registered or currently enrolled in a state institution of higher learning, and, if undocumented, file an affidavit with the college or university stating that they will file an application to adjust their immigration status as soon as they are eligible.47 Prior to AB 540, undocumented students were charged out-of-state tuition fees to attend one of California’s public higher education institutions.

AB 309 (CHU)

AB 309 (2003) extends consumer protections already available for Spanish speakers to speakers of the top five primary non-English languages spoken by Californians in their homes (Cantonese, Mandarin, Tagalog, Vietnamese, and Korean). AB 309 requires businesses that choose to negotiate a narrow set of essential contractual agreements in these languages – such as buying a car, getting a basic unsecured consumer loan, or entering into a legal fee agreement – to translate their contracts into the language used for the negotiations.48

SB 853 (ESCUITIA)

SB 853 (2003) requires health plans to provide language access and charges the California Department of Managed Health Care to develop standards for interpreter services, translation of materials, and the collection of data on race, ethnicity, and language. All vital documents must be translated into threshold languages and interpretation services made available to enrollees.49

AB 800 (YEE)

AB 800 (2006) requires hospitals and clinics to include a patient’s principal language spoken in his or her medical records.50

AB 2283 (OROPEZA) MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA PHYSICIAN LICENSE RENEWAL SURVEY

AB 2283 (2006) amended the California Business and Professions Code and authorized the Medical Board of California to collect information on physicians’ race/ethnicity and foreign language proficiency at the time of license renewal. It also stipulated annual aggregation of the data to determine statewide totals and the zip codes of the physicians’ primary practice location.51

AB 295 (LIEU)

AB 295 (2007) would have required state departments concerned with health and human services, employment, and civil rights to collect data on additional AA and NHPI ethnic subgroups to reflect the diversity of information reported by the U.S. Census. The groups included Hmong, Tongan, Thai, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Sri Lankan, Malaysian, Indonesian, Taiwanese, and Fijian.52 The bill passed both houses of the State Legislature, but was ultimately vetoed. In his veto message, Governor Schwarzenegger stated that the bill was “unnecessary and imposes additional costs on state agencies at a time the state cannot afford them,” given that “state agencies have the flexibility to collect to expand upon current demographic categories if necessary.”53
AB 269 (ENG)

AB 269 (2007) addresses the growing disparities in access to dental care for underserved communities by allowing the California Dental Board and the Committee on Dental Auxiliaries to collect data on their licensees’ ethnic background and language proficiency based on the zip code of primary practice. The bill stipulated annual reporting of data for use by policymakers, researchers, health officials, and the general public. 54

AB 614 (ENG)

AB 614 (2007) would have strengthened the Secretary of State’s ability to monitor the compliance of elections officials with various voting rights laws to ensure the provision of language assistance at polling sites, as well as meet other requirements to facilitate the ability of limited English proficient and first-time voters to participate in California’s voting process. Governor Schwarzenegger vetoed the bill, stating that current law already requires the provision of language assistance to voters and that such monitoring would “place an unnecessary strain on the state’s limited resources.” 56

MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT (PROPOSITION 63)

Proposition 63 (2004), also known as the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA), significantly expands mental health care services and dedicates a portion of funds to preventive and early intervention (PEI) and innovative programs. MHSA is funded through a 1% tax on personal income exceeding $1 million, and is estimated to generate over $2 billion in funding between 2008 and 2011. Funds are being used to increase clients’ and families’ participation and involvement in all aspects of the public mental health system, reach out and expand services to underserved client populations to eliminate ethnic disparities in accessibility and cultural competency, and integrate services so that clients and families can address their needs without dealing with multiple agencies in multiple locations. 57

UC DISAGGREGATION OF AA AND NHPI SUBGROUPS

Thanks to the hard work of students involved in UCLA’s Asian Pacific Coalition’s “Count Me In” campaign in 2006 and 2007, undergraduate admission applications at the University of California will include 23 AA and NHPI subgroups starting in 2010. The groups include Chinese, Taiwanese, Asian Indian, Pakistani, Japanese, Korean, Filipino, Vietnamese, Hmong, Thai, Cambodian, Laotian, Bangladeshi, Indonesian, Malaysian, Sri Lankan, Other Asian, Native Hawaiian, Guamanian/Chamorro, Samoan, Tongan, Fijian, and Other Pacific Islander. Previously, the University of California had categories for eight AA and NHPI subgroups. 58
Appendix D – API Joint Legislative Caucus Members

Assemblymember Warren Furutani  
Chair, API Joint Legislative Caucus  
55th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0055  
Tel: (916) 319-2055  
**District Office**  
4201 Long Beach Blvd., Suite 327  
Long Beach, CA 90807  
Tel: (562) 989-2919

Assemblymember Mary Hayashi  
Vice Chair, API Joint Legislative Caucus  
Chair, Committee on Business and Professions  
18th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0018  
Tel: (916) 319-2018  
**District Office**  
22320 Foothill Boulevard, Suite 540  
Hayward, CA 94541  
Tel: (510) 583-8818

Assemblymember Mike Eng  
Chair, Committee on Banking and Finance  
49th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0049  
Tel: (916) 319-2049  
**District Office**  
9420 Telstar Avenue, Suite 103  
El Monte, CA 91731  
Tel: (626) 450-6116

Assemblymember Paul Fong  
Chair, Committee on Elections and Redistricting  
22nd Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0022  
Tel: (916) 319-2022  
**District Office**  
274 Castro Street, Suite 202  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel: (408) 277-2003

Assemblymember Ted Lieu  
Member, Committee on Rules  
53rd Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0053  
Tel: (916) 319-2053  
**District Office**  
500 Center Street  
El Segundo, CA 90245  
Tel: (310) 615-3515

Assemblymember Fiona Ma  
Speaker pro Tempore  
12th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0012  
Tel: (916) 319-2012  
**District Office**  
455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 14600  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Tel: (415) 557-2312

Assemblymember Van Tran  
Vice Chair, Committee on Judiciary  
68th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0068  
Tel: (916) 319-2068  
**District Office**  
1503 South Coast Dr. #205  
Costa Mesa, CA 92626  
Tel: (714) 668-2100

Assemblymember Alberto Torrico  
20th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0020  
Tel: (916) 319-2020  
**District Office**  
39510 Paseo Padre Parkway, Suite 280  
Fremont, CA 94538  
Tel: (510) 440-9030
Assemblymember Mariko Yamada  
Chair, Committee on Aging and Long-Term Care  
8th Assembly District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol  
P.O. Box 94249-0008  
Tel: (916) 319-2008  
**District Office**  
555 Mason Street, Suite 275  
Vacaville, CA 95688  
Tel: (707) 455-8025

Senator Carol Liu  
Chair, Committee on Human Services  
21st Senate District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol, Room 5061  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
**District Office**  
710 S. Central Ave, #310  
Glendale, CA 91204  
Tel: (818) 409-0400

Senator Leland Yee, Ph.D.  
Assistant President pro Tempore  
8th Senate District  
**Capitol Office**  
State Capitol, Room 4074  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
**District Office**  
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14200  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Tel: (415) 557-7857

Honorary Member, John Chiang  
California State Controller  
Sacramento Office  
P.O. Box 942850  
Sacramento, CA 94250-5872  
Tel: (916) 445-2636

Honorary Member, Betty Yee  
Chair, State Board of Equalization  
Board of Equalization Member, 1st District  
Sacramento Office  
450 N Street, MIC: 71  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel: 916-445-4081

Honorary Member, Michelle Steel  
Board of Equalization Member, 3rd District  
Sacramento Office  
450 N Street, MIC: 77  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel: (916) 445-5713
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